DATE: <u>January 24, 2011</u>

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	<u> </u>	TRICT OF ARIZONA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Carlos Castaneda-Moreno	Case Number: <u>11-01304M-001</u>
present and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C as represented by counsel. I conclude e defendant pending trial in this cas	. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on January 24, 2011. Defendant was le by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the e.
I find by a prep	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
		he United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
	The defendant, at the time of the	charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
⊠	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximu	um of years imprisonment.
The C at the time of t	ourt incorporates by reference the mathematic hearing in this matter, except as	naterial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the de	fendant will flee.
2.	No condition or combination of co	onditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	DIREC	CTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections for appeal. The door the United S	acility separate, to the extent practica efendant shall be afforded a reasona States or on request of an attorney fo	y of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in able, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending able opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a counter the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the rpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPE	ALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS 0 deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of of the motion for review/reconsidera	this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to tion to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services suffic	FURTHER ORDERED that if a releaciently in advance of the hearing be potential third party custodian.	se to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial fore the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge